REMARKS

In the outstanding official action, claims 7-10 and 12-14 were deemed to be allowable if placed in independent form, while claims 1-6, 11, 15 and 17 were rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Bartlett, with claim 16 being rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartlett in view of Ernstoff et al, for the reasons of record.

In response, independent claims 1 and 17 are herewith amended to more particularly and precisely define the novel and unobvious features of the instant invention, and it is respectfully submitted that these claims, as herewith amended, and the remaining claims depending therefrom are now clearly patentably distinguishable over the cited and applied references for the reasons detailed below. Accordingly, the allowable claims have not been placed in independent form at the present time, pending a final determination of the patentability of the remaining claims.

More particularly, independent claim 1 has been amended to recite at least two selectable light sources having different predetermined radiance spectra, with each of the spectra having a plurality of peaks at different desired wavelengths, with an analogous limitation being added to independent method claim 17.

Clearly, the Bartlett reference, suggested in the Action to anticipate the instant invention, neither shows nor suggests the

foregoing teachings as now more precisely claimed. On the contrary, the device disclosed in Bartlett (see cited Fig. 1 and the associated description) has at least two selectable light sources 40 and 50, each of which "generates white light" (col. 4, last two paragraphs) which is subsequently directed to a beam splitter 46 to generate different colored components as shown in Fig. 1. This teaching is directly contrary to that of the present invention, wherein each light source has a plurality of peaks at different desired wavelengths (see Fig. 4, wherein first light source 22 is shown and described as emitting light with a spectral distribution 51 with three different desired peaks in the red, green and blue wavelength range, while the second light source 23 emits light with a spectral distribution 52 with three different desired peaks in the yellow, cyan and deep blue wavelength ranges respectively (see page 5, last paragraph). Thus, it is respectfully submitted that independent claims 1 and 17, as herewith amended are now clearly patentably distinguishable over the cited and applied reference. Furthermore, as clearly described in the instant specification, the foregoing patentably distinguishable features result in a commercially advantageous wide gamut display device in which color break-up can be reduced.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that independent claims 1 and 17, and the remaining claims depending

therefrom, are now clearly patentably distinguishable over the cited and applied references. Accordingly, allowance of the instant application is respectfully submitted to be justified at the present time, and favorable consideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven R. Biren, Reg. 26,531

Attorney

(914) 333-9630